

(c) It depends on the funds that can be made available for the work.

Mr. R. P. RAJANNA.—When do the Government expect to take up the project?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—As soon as funds become available. We will consider it in connection with the next budget.

Mr. R. P. RAJANNA.—With reference to answer to (b), is the alternative estimate ready now?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Yes. The revised estimate comes to Rs. 2,50,000 as against the previous estimate of Rs. 1,90,000.

Mr. R. P. RAJANNA.—Has it come to your knowledge that tenders were called for about 3 years back for this work, but that it was kept in abeyance pending the receipt of sanction from the Madras Government?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—The main reason why the work has not been taken up is paucity of funds. We have also obtained the sanction of the Madras Government.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Are there not also several other projects under contemplation in other parts of the State?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—There are.

Mr. B. SRINIVASA RAO.—When the Dewan toured the Kolar District, some projects were promised. Has any action been taken to implement those promises?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Due to financial stringency, we could not take them up. We shall take them up as soon as possible.

Money-lending Business of Marvadis, Gujaratis and Punjabis.

Q.—21. Mr. A. G. MUDDURACHAPPA (Bowringpet Municipality).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(a) what is the number of Marvadis, Gujaratis and Punjabis that have come to our State from other countries;

(b) has it come to the notice of the Government that they (i) maintain accounts in their own languages and (ii) do injustice to people?

A.—The GENERAL SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. H. V. Ramasvami).—

(a) Information not available.

(b) (i) Yes.

(ii) No.

Mr. A. G. MUDDURACHAPPA.—With reference to answer to (a), why is it that information is not available?

Mr. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—It is not separately shown in the census report. It is classified under different religions.

Mr. A. G. MUDDURACHAPPA.—How else are we to know it?

Mr. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—It is not possible unless figures are collected separately.

Mr. A. G. MUDDURACHAPPA.—With reference to answer to (b), how are their accounts to be verified?

Mr. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—We get their accounts examined by duly qualified people when necessary.

Relinquishment of Inam Lands endowed to Sree Prasanna Rameswaraswami Temple in Bommanahalli, Chiknaikanhalli Town.

Q.—22. Messrs. C. PATTABHIRAMA SETTI (Chiknaikanhalli Municipality); and **G. KANCHAPPA** and **Mum-madi H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA** (Chiknaikanhalli Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(a) when and under what conditions did they resume Bommanahalli village (in Huliya Hobli of Chiknaikanhalli Taluk) which was endowed to Sree Prasanna Rameswaraswami temple in Chiknaikanhalli Town;

(b) are they aware that the temple derives no income from the few banjar lands left in the possession of the temple;

(c) are they aware that the Dharmadarsis of the temple have been asking for the restoration of cultivable lands to the temple authorities;

(d) are they aware that the temple derives no income from any other source?

A.—The GENERAL SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. H. V. Ramaswami).—

(a) In the year 1877-78, the *inam* lands were relinquished by the *Agamik*, for whose services they had been endowed.

(b) and (d) The estimated income of the temple is Rs. 168-9-0 as detailed below:—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Cash grant ...	46	9	0
Income from lands...	50	0	0
Rent on building ...	72	0	0

(c) Yes. There was also a representation in the Dasara Session of the Representative Assembly, 1934.

Distribution of Water from the Mavathur Tank in Koratagere Taluk.

Q.—23. Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA (Koratagere Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(a) what is the *atchkat* in acres under Mavathur tank in Koratagere Taluk;

(b) what is the extent in acres on which the demand for contribution is fixed;

(c) what is the extent cultivated with wet crops during the years 1930-31, 1931-32, 1932-33 and 1933-34 as per *pahani* accounts?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—

(a) 1,478 acres.

(b) 1,478 acres.

(c)—

Year	Extent	
	Acres	Guntas
1930-31 ...	1,250	0
1931-32 ...	1,340	0
1932-33 ...	1,380	0
1933-34 ...	1,225	20

Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA.—The figure about the *atchkat* as furnished at the last budget session was 3,200. But now in answer to (a), it is stated to be 1,478 acres. Which is the correct figure?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—This is the correct figure. The other figure was what was estimated before the tank was constructed.

Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA.—Though contribution has been paid by the raiyats, why is the area under cultivation so meagre?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Because the supply of water is limited.

Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA.—Is it not a fact that more than supply being inadequate, the distribution of water is unsatisfactory even though there is not much silt?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—No.

Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA.—Have any remissions been given because they could not grow wet crops?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—If they are entitled to remissions under the rules, they will get them.

Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA.—Have they not petitioned the Government for the levy of dry rates?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—I cannot say.

Mr. B. L. BYANNA.—Should not a portion of the contribution amount be remitted, seeing that the estimated area of *atchkat* has been reduced? Out of 1,480 acres only 1,200 are actually cultivated.

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—It may be due to several causes. I may tell you that many of the landholders live in Bangalore and much of the land may not be properly cultivated. We cannot say that in all those cases remissions should have been given.

Inconvenience caused to the Residents of Koratagere by Voddar Gangs and their Pigs.

Q.—24. Mr. K. SIDDALINGAPPA (Koratagere Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(a) how many Voddar houses are there in the gang residing near Koratagere town;

(b) how long have they been residing there; what is their calling;

(c) are the Government aware that they are rearing a large number of pigs;